arXiv:1608.07304v1 [math.CO] 25 Aug 2016

CHARACTERIZATION OF INTERSECTING FAMILIES OF MAXIMUM SIZE IN $PSL(2,q)$

LING LONG*, RAFAEL PLAZA, PETER SIN†, QING XIANG‡

Abstract. We consider the action of the 2-dimensional projective special linear group $PSL(2,q)$ on the projective line $PG(1,q)$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, where q is an odd prime power. A subset S of $PSL(2,q)$ is said to be an intersecting family if for any $g_1, g_2 \in S$, there exists an element $x \in PG(1,q)$ such that $x^{g_1} = x^{g_2}$. It is known that the maximum size of an intersecting family in $PSL(2,q)$ is $q(q-1)/2$. We prove that all intersecting families of maximum size are cosets of point stabilizers.

1. Introduction

Let n, k be positive integers such that $k \leq n$ and let $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. A family of k-subsets of $[n]$ is said to be *intersecting* if the intersection of any two k-subsets in the family is non-empty. The Erdös-Ko-Rado (EKR) theorem is a classical result in extremal set theory. It states that when $k < n/2$ any intersecting family of k-subsets has size at most $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$; equality holds if and only if the family consists of all k-subsets of $[n]$ containing a fixed element of $[n]$ (cf. [10]). In this paper, we focus on EKR type problems for permutations groups. In particular, for any odd prime power q, we consider the natural right action of $PSL(2,q)$, the 2-dimensional projective special linear group over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, on the set of points of $PG(1,q)$, the projective line over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Let X be a finite set and G a finite group acting on X. A subset S of G is said to be an *intersecting family* if for any $g_1, g_2 \in S$ there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $x^{g_1} = x^{g_2}$, i.e., $g_1 g_2^{-1}$ stablizes at least one x in S. In the context of EKR-type theorems, the following problems about intersecting families in G are of interest:

I (Upper Bound) What is the maximum size of an intersecting family?
II (Characterization) What is the structure of intersecting families of maximum size?

Extensive research has been done to solve the above problems for different groups. In 1977, Deza and Frankl [11] solved Problem I for the symmetric group S_n acting on $[n]$. They proved that any intersecting family of S_n has size at most $(n-1)!$. In fact, this upper bound is tight because any coset of a point stabilizer in S_n is an intersecting family of size precisely $(n-1)!$. They conjectured these sets are the only intersecting families of size $(n-1)!$. This conjecture was proved to be true, independently, by Cameron and Ku [7] and Larose and Malvenuto [21].

Key words and phrases. Character table, Erdös-Ko-Rado theorem, Hypergeometric function over finite field, Intersecting family, Legendre sum, Soto-Andrade sum.

*Research partially supported by an NSF grant DMS-1303292.

†Research partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#204181 to Peter Sin).

‡Research partially supported by an NSF grant DMS-1600850.

In [19], Meagher and Spiga studied Problem I and II for the group $PGL(2,q)$ acting on the set of points of the projective line $PG(1,q)$. These authors proved that the maximum size of an intersecting family in $PGL(2,q)$ is $q(q-1)$. Furthermore, they also solved the characterization problem: Every intersecting family of maximum size in $PGL(2,q)$ is a coset of a point stabilizer. In [20], they went one step further to solve Problem I and II for the group $PGL(3,q)$ acting on the set of points of the projective plane $PG(2,q)$.

In this paper we study Problem II for the group $PSL(2,q)$ acting on $PG(1,q)$. It is known, from the combined results of [2, 19], that the maximum size of an intersecting family in $PSL(2,q)$ is $q(q-1)/2$. However, it is only a conjecture that all intersecting families of maximum size are cosets of point stabilizers. (See the second part of Conjecture 1 in [19].) In this paper, we prove that the second part of Conjecture 1 in [19] is true for all odd prime powers q.

Theorem 1. *Let S be an intersecting family in $PSL(2,q)$ of maximum size. Then S is a coset of a point stabilizer.*

To prove Theorem 1 we apply a general method for solving Problem II for some 2-transitive groups. This technique was proposed by Ahmadi and Meagher in [2] and they called it "The Module Method". This method reduces the characterization of intersecting families of maximum size to the computation of the $\mathbb{C}$-rank of a matrix which we define below.

Definition 2. Let X be a finite set and G a finite group acting on X. An element $g \in G$ is said to be a *derangement* if its action on X is fixed-point-free. The *derangement matrix* of G acting on X is the $(0,1)$-matrix M, whose rows are indexed by the derangements of G, whose columns are indexed by the ordered pairs of distinct elements in X, and for any derangement $g \in G$ and $(a,b) \in X \times X$ with $a \neq b$, the $(g,(a,b))$-entry of M is defined by

$$M(g,(a,b)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a^g = b, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Module Method states that, under certain conditions, if the rank of the derangement matrix M of G acting on X is equal to $(|X|-1)(|X|-2)$, then the cosets of point stabilizers are the only intersecting families of maximum size in G. This technique has been applied to show that the cosets of point stabilizers are the only intersecting families of maximum size for the symmetric group [14], the alternating group [3], $PGL(2,q)$ [19], and many other groups [2].

Thus, in order to prove Theorem 1 by applying the Module Method, it is enough to show that the rank of the derangement matrix M of $PSL(2,q)$ acting on $PG(1,q)$ is equal to $q(q-1)$. Therefore, Theorem 1 follows directly from the next theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let M be the derangement matrix of $PSL(2,q)$ acting on $PG(1,q)$. Then the $\mathbb{C}$-rank of M is $q(q-1)$.*

Exactly the same statement for $PGL(2,q)$ is proved in [19, Prop. 9], so we must first examine why the proof does not immediately carry over to $PSL(2,q)$. In [19] the matrix $M^\top M$ represents a certain $PGL(2,q)$-module endomorphism of a permutation module. The main calculation is to show, for each irreducible constituent character of this module, that the image of $M^\top M$ is not annihilated by the corresponding central idempotent. Consequently, the image also contains the character as a constituent, and the rank result follows due to the

fact that the module in question is almost multiplicity-free, in the sense that, with one exception, each irreducible constituent character occurs with multiplicity one. If one attempts to follow the same procedure for $PSL(2,q)$ one runs immediately into the problem that the $PSL(2,q)$-constituents of the permutation module have high multiplicity. Fortunately, this obstacle can be sidestepped by observing that although we are working in $PSL(2,q)$, our sets and permutation modules admit the action of $PGL(2,q)$, and for the larger group the permutation module has the property of being almost multiplicity-free. A more serious difficulty arises when one attempts to show that the central idempotents have nonzero images in the permutation module. As for $PGL(2,q)$, the problem boils down to showing that certain sums of character values are not zero. For $PGL(2,q)$, these sums could be estimated by elementary arguments. However, the sums for $PSL(2,q)$ appear to be much harder to deal with, and our proof proceeds by reformulating the sums as character sums over finite fields and applying some deep results on hypergeometric functions over finite fields. The finite field character sums which appear are Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums (see Section 2.5). This is not a surprise; it is well known that these sums appear in connection with the complex representation theory of $PGL(2,q)$ [16]. To prove that these character sums are not equal to zero the following facts will be crucial:

(1) The Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums (see Definitions 8 and 9) on $\mathbb{F}_q$ form an orthogonal basis in the inner product space $\ell_2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$ [16], where m is the measure assigning mass $q+1$ to the points ± 1 and mass 1 to all other points.
(2) The Legendre sums may be expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions over finite fields (see Section 2.3). These functions were introduced by Greene in [13] and Katz in [17] and since that they have been extensively studied [1, 12, 16].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some basic results about the character table of $PGL(2,q)$, Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums, and Hypergeometric functions over finite fields. In Section 3, we show that the rank of the derangement matrix M is equal to the dimension of the image of a $PGL(2,q)$-module homomorphism. We use this fact to reduce the problem of computing the rank of M to that of showing some explicit character sums over $PGL(2,q)$ are not equal to zero. In Section 4, we find some formulas to express those character sums over $PGL(2,q)$ in terms of Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 3. In Section 6, we conclude with some remarks and open problems.

2. Background

We start by recalling standard facts about the groups $PGL(2,q)$ and $PSL(2,q)$ and their complex characters, introducing our notation in the process. We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the general terminology and basic results from the representation theory of finite groups over the complex field, as can be found in many textbooks, and we shall use [28] for specific references when necessary.

2.1. **The groups $PGL(2,q)$ and $PSL(2,q)$.** Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of size q and $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ its unique quadratic extension. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ the multiplicative groups of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, respectively. Let $GL(2,q)$ be the group of all invertible 2×2 matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $SL(2,q)$ the subgroup of all invertible 2×2 matrices with determinant 1. The center $Z(GL(2,q))$ of $GL(2,q)$ consists of all non-zero scalar matrices and we define $PGL(2,q) =$

$GL(2,q)/Z(GL(2,q))$ and $PSL(2,q) = SL(2,q)/\left(SL(2,q) \cap Z(SL(2,q))\right)$. If q is odd then $PSL(2,q)$ is a subgroup of $PGL(2,q)$ of index 2, while if q is even then $PGL(2,q) = PSL(2,q)$.

We denote by $PG(1,q)$ the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of the space $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ of row vectors of length 2. Thus, $PG(1,q)$ is a projective line over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and its elements are called projective points. An easy computation shows that $PG(1,q)$ has cardinality $q+1$. From the above definitions, it is clear that the $GL(2,q)$-action on $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ by right multiplication induces a natural right action of the groups $PGL(2,q)$ and $PSL(2,q)$ on $PG(1,q)$. The action of the subgroup $PSL(2,q)$ is 2-transitive, that is, given any two ordered pairs of distinct points there is a group element sending the first pair to the second. The action of $PGL(2,q)$ is *sharply* 3-*transitive*, that is, given any two ordered triples of distinct points there is a unique group element sending the first triple to the second.

2.2. **The character table of $PGL(2,q)$.** We assume in this section and throughout this paper that q is an odd prime power. We briefly describe the character table of $PGL(2,q)$. We refer the reader to [24] for a complete study of the complex irreducible characters of $PGL(2,q)$. We start by describing its conjugacy classes. By abuse of notation we will denote the elements of $PGL(2,q)$ by 2×2 matrices with entries from $\mathbb{F}_q$.

First note that, the elements of $PGL(2,q)$ can be collected into four sets: The set consisting of the identity element only; the set consisting of the non-scalar matrices with only one eigenvalue in $\mathbb{F}_q$; the set consisting of matrices with two distinct eigenvalues in $\mathbb{F}_q$; and the set of matrices with no eigenvalues in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Recall that the elements of $PGL(2,q)$ are projective linear transformations so if $\{x_1, x_2\}$ are eigenvalues of some $g \in PGL(2,q)$ then $\{ax_1, ax_2\}$ are also eigenvalues of g for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence, the eigenvalues of elements in $PGL(2,q)$ are defined up to multiplication by elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$.

The identity of $PGL(2,q)$, denoted by I, defines a conjugacy class of size 1. Every non-identity element of $PGL(2,q)$ having only one eigenvalue in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ is conjugate to

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conjugacy class of u contains $q^2 - 1$ elements. The elements having two distinct eigenvalues in $\mathbb{F}_q$ are conjugate to

$$d_x = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$. Moreover, d_x and d_y are conjugated if and only if $x = y$ or $x = y^{-1}$. The size of the conjugacy class containing d_x is $q(q+1)$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ and $q(q+1)/2$ for $x = -1$. Finally, the elements of $PGL(2,q)$ with no eigenvalues in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ are conjugate to

$$v_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -r^{1+q} & r + r^q \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \setminus \mathbb{F}_q^*$. The matrices v_r have eigenvalues $\{r, r^q\}$. Hence, v_{r_1} and v_{r_2} lie in the same conjugacy class if and only if $r_1\mathbb{F}_q^* = r_2\mathbb{F}_q^*$ or $r_1\mathbb{F}_q^* = r_2^{-1}\mathbb{F}_q^*$. The size of the conjugacy class containing v_r is $q(q-1)$ if $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^* \cup j\mathbb{F}_q^*)$ and $q(q-1)/2$ if $r \in j\mathbb{F}_q^*$, where j is an element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $j^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

TABLE 1. Character table of $PGL(2,q)$

	I	u	d_x	d_{-1}	v_r	v_i
λ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1
λ_{-1}	1	1	$\delta(x)$	$\delta(-1)$	$\delta(r)$	$\delta(i)$
ψ_1	q	0	1	1	-1	-1
ψ_{-1}	q	0	$\delta(x)$	$\delta(-1)$	$-\delta(r)$	$-\delta(i)$
η_β	$q-1$	-1	0	0	$-\beta(r)-\beta(r^q)$	$-2\beta(i)$
ν_γ	$q+1$	1	$\gamma(x)+\gamma(x^{-1})$	$2\gamma(-1)$	0	0

The complex irreducible characters of $PGL(2,q)$ are described in Table 1. They also come in four families. First the characters λ_1 and λ_{-1} correspond to representations of degree 1. Here λ_1 is the trivial character and the values of λ_{-1} depend on a function δ which is defined as follows: $\delta(x)=1$ if $d_x \in PSL(2,q)$ and $\delta(x)=-1$ otherwise, similarly, $\delta(r)=1$ if $v_r \in PSL(2,q)$ and $\delta(r)=-1$ otherwise.

Secondly, the characters ψ_1 and ψ_{-1} correspond to representations of degree q. The character ψ_1 is the standard character which is an irreducible character of $PGL(2,q)$. Thus, for every $g \in PGL(2,q)$, the value of $\psi_1(g)$ is equal to the number of projective points fixed by g in $PG(1,q)$ minus 1. The values of ψ_{-1} depend on the function δ defined above.

The third family of irreducible characters is known as the principal series of $PGL(2,q)$. These characters correspond to representations of degree $q+1$ and their values depend on multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$. In fact, the label γ in Table 1 runs through all the homomorphism $\gamma : \mathbb{F}_q^* \to \mathbb{C}$ of order greater than 2 up to inversion.

Finally, the fourth family is known as the cuspidal characters of $PGL(2,q)$. They correspond to representations of degree $q-1$ and their values depend on multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. In fact, the label β in Table 1 runs through all homomorphism $\beta : \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*/\mathbb{F}_q^* \to \mathbb{C}$ of order greater than 2 up to inversion. Note that every β corresponds to a unique multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ which is trivial on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Throughout this paper we denote by Γ and B a fixed selection of characters γ and β, as defined above, up to inversion of size $(q-3)/2$ and $(q-1)/2$, respectively. Therefore, the principal series and cuspidal irreducible characters of $PGL(2,q)$ are given by $\{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and $\{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}$, respectively.

2.3. **Hypergeometric functions over finite fields.** A (generalized) hypergeometric function with parameters a_i, b_j is defined by

$$ {}_{n+1}F_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right] = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_{n+1})_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_n)_k} \frac{x^k}{k!}, $$

where $a_0 = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$ $(a)_k = a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)$ is called the Pochhammer symbol.

Hypergeometric functions over finite fields were introduced independently by John Greene [13] and Nick Katz [17]. In this paper, we consider Greene's hypergeometric sum, however, note that the two definitions differ only in a normalizing factor for cases related to our discussion.

In this section and throughout this paper we denote by ϵ and ϕ the trivial and quadratic multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$, respectively. Also, we adopt the convention of extending

multiplicative characters by declaring them to be zero at $0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ be multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Greene defines the following finite field analogue of a hypergeometric sum

$$(1) \qquad {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma_0 & \gamma_1 \\ & \gamma_2\end{matrix}; x; q\right] = \epsilon(x)\frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2(-1)}{q}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q}\gamma_1(y)(\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1})(1-y)\gamma_0^{-1}(1-xy).$$

Since the seminal work of Greene and Katz a lot of work has been done on special functions over finite fields, in particular generalized hypergeometric functions. In this section, we recall some definitions and results that we will use later in this paper.

Following Greene [13], we introduce other ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ functions inductively as follows. For multiplicative characters $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$ and $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, define

$${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left[\begin{matrix}A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_n \\ & B_1 & \cdots & B_n\end{matrix}; x; q\right] :=$$
$$\frac{A_nB_n(-1)}{q}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q}{}_n\mathbb{F}_{n-1}\left[\begin{matrix}A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_{n-1} \\ & B_1 & \cdots & B_{n-1}\end{matrix}; y; q\right]A_n(y)\overline{A_n}B_n(1-y)$$

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.2 in [1].

Lemma 4. *For any non-trivial multiplicative character γ of $\mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$q\,{}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; 1; q\right] = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q}\phi(y){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; y; q\right]{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; y; q\right]$$

Proof. The lemma follows from the recursive definition of ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$. First,

$$\begin{aligned} q\,{}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; 1; q\right] &= \phi(-1)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi(x)\phi(1-x)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; x; q\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{q}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi(x)\phi(1-x)\phi(y)\phi(1-y){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; xy; q\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now replacing y with y/x, x with xy and using equation (1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} q\,{}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; 1; q\right] &= \frac{1}{q}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi(1-\frac{1}{x})\phi(1-xy)\phi(y){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; y; q\right] \\ &= \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q}\phi(y){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; y; q\right]{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; y; q\right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Like their classical counterparts hypergeometric functions over finite fields satisfy many transformation formulas [13, 12]. In particular, the next one will be useful for our purpose.

Lemma 5. *(Greene, [13]) For $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $x \neq 0$ we have,*

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; x; q\right] = \phi(x){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; \frac{1}{x}; q\right].$$

2.4. **Some related arithmetic results.** We first introduce some more notation. Let p be an odd prime and K a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified at p and $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of algebraic integers of K. Then at each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ above p, the quotient $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$ of size $q = p^s$ for some $s \geq 1$. Note that for every p and s it is possible to realize $\mathbb{F}_q$ in this way. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $G_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$ be the absolute Galois group of K. Let ℓ be a prime and $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ be the local ℓ-adic field. Below, we will use some results in finite dimensional ℓ-adic Galois representations. A dimension-m representation ρ of G_K is a continuous homormorphism from G_K to $GL_m(L)$ where $L = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ or an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. When such a representation ρ arises from algebraic equations (or varieties), it ramifies at only finitely many prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$. To describe ρ up to semisimplification, it is sufficient to compute all $\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ for unramified $\mathfrak{p}$ where $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ denotes the (geometric) Frobenius conjugacy class of G_K at $\mathfrak{p}$. ($\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ is the inverse of the arithmetic Frobenius $\mathrm{Fr}_\mathfrak{p}$, which on the residual field level sends x to $x^{|\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}|}$.) For example, the ℓ-adic cyclotomic character $\varepsilon_\ell : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell^*$ which is a 1-dimensional representation defined by $\varepsilon_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_p) = p$ for each prime $p \neq \ell$. For more details, see [29]. An important source of Galois representations arises from modular forms. Instead of giving the precise definition of modular forms which are graded by their weights, we will point out a few things most relevant to our discussion. It is customary to express modular forms as Laurent series in $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ (not to be confused with the prime power q). The Dedekind eta function is defined by $\eta(z) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1-q^n)$. A typical example of modular form is $\Delta(z) = \eta(z)^{24}$. The function $\Delta(z)$ is of weight $k = 12$ and satisfies $\Delta(z+1) = \Delta(z)$. We use τ_n to denote its nth Fourier coefficient. It is known due to Ramanujan and Mordell that for each prime p and natural number n, the following recursion holds: $\tau_{np} - \tau_n\tau_p + p^{k-1}\tau_n = 0$. This means $\Delta(z)$ is a Hecke eigenform (and other Hecke eigenforms satisfy similar Hecke recursions). Ramanujan conjectured that $|\tau_p| < 2p^{(12-1)/2} = 2p^{11/2}$ for each prime p. Motivated by Ramanujan's observation and based on the pioneering work of Eichler-Shimura, Deligne obtained the following important result. For each integral weight $k \geq 2$ (cuspidal) modular form f with Fourier coefficient $\{a_n(f)\}_{n\geq 1}$ (we assume the character is trivial) and prime ℓ, there is a 2-dimensional representation $\rho_{\ell,f} : G_\mathbb{Q} \to GL_2(L)$ (where L is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}(a_1(f), a_2(f), \cdots)$ at any place above ℓ) such that for almost all primes p, the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{\ell,f}(\mathrm{Fr}_p)$ is of the form $T^2 - a_p(f)T + p^{k-1}$ with two eigenvalues, denoted by α_p, β_p, each having complex absolute value $p^{(k-1)/2}$. Thus $|\mathrm{Tr}\rho_{\ell,f}(\mathrm{Fr}_p)| = |a_p(f)| \leq 2p^{(k-1)/2}$.

To motivate the later discussion, we will review the relation between the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1\end{matrix}; x\right]$ and its finite field analogues. For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0,1\}$, the cubic equation $E_x : t^2 = s(s-1)(1-xs)$ in two variables s, t defines an algebraic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose compatification is a genus 1 curve. It is known as an elliptic curve and possesses an abelian group structure as topologically the curve over $\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_x$ where Λ_x is a rank-2 $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice. It has a unique up to scalar holomorphic differential $\omega_x := \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\sqrt{s(s-1)(1-xs)}}$ and for $|x| < 1$, $\int_0^1 \omega_x = \pi \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1\end{matrix}; x\right]$, see [4]. Let ℓ be an auxiliary prime, for any integer $n \geq 1$, the subgroup$E_x[\ell^n]$ of ℓ^n-division points of E_x, is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})^2$. As all torsion points of E_x have coordinates in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the group $G_\mathbb{Q}$ permutes the set $E_x[\ell^n]$ transitively. Upon choosing generators of $E_x[\ell^n]$, one has a homomorphism from $G_\mathbb{Q}$ to

$GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})$. As n varies, the division points $E_x[\ell^n]$ vary compatibly using the multiplicative by ℓ map $[\ell] : E_x[\ell^{n+1}] \to E_x[\ell^n]$. Taking the inverse limit of $E_x[\ell^n]$ and tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, one obtains a representation $\rho_{\ell,E_x} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. This representation is unramified for almost all primes p and at each unramified prime p, $\mathrm{Tr}\rho_{\ell,E_x}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = p + 1 - \#(E_x/\mathbb{F}_p)$, which is denoted by $a_p(E_x)$. By [23] by Ono, it is known that when $x \neq 0, 1 \mod p$, $a_p(E_x) = -p\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; x; p\right]$. In other words, there exists a 2-dimensional representation ρ_{ℓ,E_x} of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that for almost all primes p,

$$-p\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; x; p\right] = \mathrm{Tr}\rho_{\ell,E_x}(\mathrm{Frob}_p). \tag{2}$$

By the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture (now a Theorem and it implies the Fermat Last Theorem), there exists a weight-2 Hecke eigenform f such that ρ_{ℓ,E_x} is isomorphic $\rho_{\ell,f}^\vee$, the dual of $\rho_{\ell,f}$. Consequently, for almost all primes p, $\left|p\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; x; p\right]\right| = |a_p(E_x)| = |a_p(f)| < 2p^{1/2}$. This can be generalized from $\mathbb{F}_p$ to $\mathbb{F}_q$ naturally since if $-p\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; x; p\right] = \alpha_p + \beta_p$ then $-q\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; x; q\right] = \alpha_p^s + \beta_p^s$, following from the fact that the conjugacy classes $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and Frob_p^s agree, where $|\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}| = p^s$.

For other generalized hypergeometric functions, the roles of the elliptic curves E_x are replaced by the so-called hypergeometric motives described in [17, 27]. In [6], Beukers, Cohen and Mellit gave a realization of hypergeometric motives defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ on explicit hypergeometric varieties based on toric varieties. A different way to realize hypergeometric motives over any number field was given in [12]. Following [6], for ${}_4F_3\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{n} & \frac{n-1}{n} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 1 & 1\end{matrix}; 1\right]$ with $n = 2$, 3, 4 or 6, the following varieties can be used to replace the roles of E_x above respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{2,2,2,2} &: \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = x_4 + x_5 + x_6 = x_7 + x_8 + x_9 = x_{10} + x_{11} + x_{12} = 0 \\ 2^8 x_1^2 x_4^2 x_7^2 x_{10}^2 = x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6 x_8 x_9 x_{11} x_{12} \end{array} \\
W_{2,2,3} &: \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = x_5 + x_6 + x_7 = x_8 + x_9 + x_{10} = 0 \\ 3^3 2^4 x_1^3 x_5^2 x_8^2 = -x_2 x_3 x_4 x_6 x_2 x_7 x_9 x_{10} \end{array} \\
W_{2,4} &: \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = x_6 + x_7 + x_8 = 0 \\ 2^{10} x_1^4 x_6^2 = -x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_7 x_8 \end{array} \\
W_{2,6} &: \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = x_6 + x_7 + x_8 = 0 \\ 3^3 2^8 x_1^6 x_6^2 = x_2^3 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_7 x_8. \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we state the needed result from hypergeometric motives due to Katz [17] and Rodriguez-Villegas [27] which is analogous to (2) for the E_x case. Let $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$ and assume K contains $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, where ζ_n denotes a primitive nth root of unity. This implies $q \equiv 1 \mod n$ and hence $\mathbb{F}_q$ has a primitive order n character $\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem 6 (Katz, Rodriguez-Villegas)**.** *Notation as above. Let $n = 2, 3, 4,$ or 6 and assume K contains $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and ℓ be any fixed prime. There exists a 3-dimensional continuous*

representation $\sigma_{\ell,n} : G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \to GL_3(\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_n))$ *such that at each prime ideal* $\mathfrak{p}$ *coprime to* $2n \cdot \ell$ *and the discriminant of* K *with residue field size* q*,*

$$-q^3 \cdot {}_4\mathbb{F}_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma_\mathfrak{p} & \gamma_\mathfrak{p}^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \end{matrix} ;\ 1; q \right] = Tr\ \sigma_{\ell,n}(Frob_\mathfrak{p})$$

where $\gamma_\mathfrak{p}$ *denotes any primitive order* n *character of* $\mathbb{F}_q$*. Moreover,* $\sigma_{\ell,n}$ *is isomorphic to a direct sum of* $\rho_{\ell,n,1}$ *and* $\rho_{\ell,n,2}$ *of* $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$ *with dimension 2 and 1 respectively. The characteristic polynomial of* $\rho_{\ell,n,1}(Frob_\mathfrak{p})$ *(resp.* $\rho_{\ell,n,2}(Frob_\mathfrak{p})$*) is a degree-2 (resp. 1) polynomial whose coefficients are in* $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ *and with each roots of complex absolute value* $q^{3/2}$ *(resp.* q*).*

Here, we give some idea on how to obtain it explicitly from numeric data. In [17], Katz described ℓ-adic representations $\sigma_{\ell,n}$ of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ associated with the given sets of hypergeometric data, which are $\{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{n-1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $\{1,1,1,1\}$. Here we only consider the semisimplification of $\sigma_{\ell,n}$. The corresponding character sums, up to normalizing factors agree with $q^3 \cdot {}_4\mathbb{F}_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma_\mathfrak{p} & \gamma_\mathfrak{p}^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \end{matrix} ;\ 1; q \right]$. The dimension of the representation, is determined by the local zeta functions defined by $\exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^\infty q^{3s} \cdot {}_4\mathbb{F}_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma_\mathfrak{p} & \gamma_\mathfrak{p}^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \end{matrix} ;\ 1; q^s \right] T^s/s \right)$. By Dwork, these zeta functions are rational functions in T of the same degree for generic $\mathfrak{p}$. In these cases, they are degree-3 polynomials from which we know $\sigma_{\ell,n}$ is 3-dimensional. Also data reveal that for $\mathfrak{p}$ coprime to $2n\ell$ the characteristic polynomial of $\sigma_{\ell,n}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ has three roots, two of them of absolute value $q^{3/2}$ and one has absolute value q. For the claimed decomposition of $\sigma_{\ell,n}$, the existence of $\rho_{\ell,n,1}$ is shown in the next proof.

Proposition 7. *Notation as the above theorem. For each* $n = 2, 3, 4$ *or* 6*, there is weight-4 Hecke cuspidal eigenforms* f_n *which gives rise to a 2-dimensional Galois representation* ρ_{ℓ,f_n} *of* $G_\mathbb{Q}$ *such that* $\rho_{\ell,n,1} \cong \rho_{\ell,f_n}^\vee|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}}$*, the restriction of* $\rho_{\ell,f_n}^\vee$ *to* $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$*;* $\rho_{\ell,n,2}$ *is isomorphic to* $\varepsilon_\ell^{-1}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}} \otimes \chi_{d_n}$ *where* ε_ℓ *denotes the* ℓ*-adic cyclotomic character and* $d_n = -1, -3, -1, 2$ *for* $d = 2, 3, 4, 6$ *respectively and* χ_{d_n} *notes the character of* $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$ *with kernel* $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_n},\zeta_n)}$*. Consequently,*

$$\left| q^3 \cdot {}_4\mathbb{F}_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma_\mathfrak{p} & \gamma_\mathfrak{p}^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon \end{matrix} ;\ 1; q \right] + \phi(-1)\gamma_n(-1)q \right| \le 2q^{3/2}.$$

The final conclusion is a generalization of Theorem 2 in [1].

Proof. We first determine $\rho_{\ell,n,1}$ geometrically and then $\rho_{\ell,n,2}$.

Note that it is possible to realize the Galois representations $\sigma_{\ell,n}$ as Galois representations arising from étale cohomology groups of algebraic varieties W_n. Using the recipe of [6], the W_n is computed as $W_{2,2,2,2}$, $W_{2,2,3}, W_{2,4}, W_{2,6}$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$ respectively. According to [5] by Batyev and van Straten, the smooth model of each W_n is a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. (Calabi-Yau three-folds are higher analogues of elliptic curves which play important role in String theory. A Calabi-Yau threefold is said to be rigid if its $h^{2,1}$ Hodge number equals 0.) The construction of [6] implies that Galois representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$ arising from the third étale cohomology of W_n, which is 2-dimensional ρ_{ℓ,W_n}, is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $\sigma_{\ell,n}$. In [15], Gouvea and Yui showed that each ρ_{ℓ,W_n} is modular in the sense that it is isomorphic to the dual of a 2-dimensional ℓ-adic Deligne representation associated to an explicit weight-4 cuspidal Hecke eigenform f_n. Knowing these modular

forms explicitly allows us to compute the values of the traces of $\rho_{\ell,n,1}(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$, which agree with the pth Fourier coefficients $a_p(f_n)$ of f_n for primes p coprime to $2n\ell$. For each W_n, the restriction of the Deligne representations associated with f_n to $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$ will be $\rho_{\ell,n,1}$. In [22], van Geemen and Nygaard showed that $f_2 = \eta(2z)^4\eta(4z)^4$ where $\eta(z)$, is the Dedekind eta function introduced before. (The same result for a different algebraic model of W_2 was obtained in [30] by Verrill and in [1] by Ahlgren and Ono.) Similarly $f_4 = \frac{\eta(4z)^{16}}{\eta(2z)^4\eta(8z)^4}$. Also f_3 (resp. f_6) corresponds to the Hecke eigenform labelled by 36.4.1.a (resp. 72.4.1.b) in the LMFDB database [18] respectively. See also [26, 32] for related discussions.

Now we turn our attention to $\rho_{\ell,n,2}$. Firstly, by Theorem 6

$$\rho_{\ell,n,2}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = -p^3 \cdot {}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} & \gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; 1; p\right] - a_p(f_n)$$

for $p \equiv 1 \mod n$ from which we observe that $\rho_{\ell,n,2}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) \in \mathbb{Z}$ as both the finite hypergeometric sums and $a_p(f_n)$'s are integers. Also $\rho_{\ell,n,2}$ is the restriction of a Galois representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$, as each W_n is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Combining with Theorem 6, we know $\rho_{\ell,n,2}$ is isomorphic to $\varepsilon^{-1}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}}$ tensoring another character χ_{d_n} which has order at most 2. By its construction, $\sigma_{\ell,n}$ is unramified outside of $2n\ell$, so $\rho_{\ell,n,2}$ is also. Knowing the ramification allows us to nail down the possibilities for the character χ_{d_n} readily.

Note that the final conclusion of the proposition is a direct consequence of the previous ones. □

2.5. **The vector space $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$.** Let $m : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}$ be $m(x) = 1 + qD_1(x) + qD_{-1}(x)$ where $D_a(x)$ is 1 if $x = a$ and 0 otherwise. We denote by $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$ the vector space of complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{F}_q$ equipped with the Hermitian form

$$\langle f_1, f_2\rangle = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} f_1(x)\overline{f_2(x)}m(x).$$

Note that the following character sums are elements of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$.

Definition 8. For any multiplicative character γ of $\mathbb{F}_q$, the *Legendre sum* with respect to γ is defined as

$$P_\gamma(a) = \frac{1}{q}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \gamma(x)\phi(x^2 - 2ax + 1), \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Definition 9. For any multiplicative character β of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, the *Soto-Andrade sum* with respect to β is defined as

$$R_\beta(a) = \frac{1}{q(q-1)}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*} \beta(r)\phi((r + r^q)^2 - 2(a+1)r^{1+q}), \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

The Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums have appeared several times in the literature in connection with the irreducible representations of $PGL(2, q)$ [16]. In fact, we will encounter them in Section 4 in our study of some character sums over $PGL(2, q)$. In this section, we recall some properties of these sums that will be useful for us in the coming sections.

The next lemma shows that the Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums form an orthogonal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$.

Lemma 10. *(Kable, [16]) The set*

$$\mathfrak{L} = \left\{ P_\epsilon - \frac{q-1}{q}, P_\phi, P_\gamma, R_\beta : \ \gamma \in \Gamma, \beta \in B \right\}$$

is an orthogonal basis for the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$, where Γ and B were defined in the end of Section 2.2 with $|\Gamma| = \frac{q-3}{2}$ and $|B| = \frac{q-1}{2}$. The square norm of the elements of this basis are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_\epsilon - \frac{q-1}{q} \right\|^2 &= \frac{q^2-1}{q}, \\ \|P_\phi\|^2 &= \frac{q^2-1}{q^2}, \\ \|P_\gamma\|^2 &= \frac{q-1}{q}, \\ \|R_\beta\|^2 &= \frac{q+1}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

If we normalize the basis given by Lemma 10 then we can easily obtain an orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$. We denote the elements of this orthonormal basis by $\{P'_\epsilon, P'_\phi, P'_\gamma, R'_\beta : \gamma \in \Gamma, \beta \in B\}$.

The next lemmas list some elementary properties of the Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums that we will need later. Lemma 11 implies that the Legendre sum with respect to the trivial character is easy to evaluate. This is not true for Legendre sums with respect to characters of higher orders. On the other hand, Lemma 12 shows that the Legendre and Soto-Andrade sums are easy to evaluate at ± 1. Lemma 13 implies that the values of these sums are real numbers.

Lemma 11. *The values of the Legendre sum with respect to ϵ are,*

$$P_\epsilon(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{q-2}{q}, & \text{if } a = \pm 1, \\ -\frac{2}{q}, & \text{if } a \neq \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 12. *Let γ and β be characters from the sets Γ and B, respectively. Then $P_\gamma(1) = -1/q$ and $R_\beta(1) = 1/q$. Moreover,*

$$P_\gamma(-1) = -\frac{\gamma(-1)}{q}, \quad R_\beta(-1) = -\frac{\beta(i)}{q}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ satisfies that $i^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Lemma 13. *For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\beta \in B$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have*

$$P_{\gamma^{-1}}(a) = P_\gamma(a) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\beta^{-1}}(a) = R_\beta(a).$$

The following result establish a relation between Legendre sums and hypergeometric sums over finite fields. This fact will be crucial later in this paper.

Lemma 14. *(Kable, [16]) If γ is a nontrivial character of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ then*

$$P_\gamma(a) = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \gamma & \gamma^{-1} \\ & \epsilon \end{matrix} ; \ \frac{1-a}{2}; q \right].$$

3. A $PGL(2,q)$-module homomorphism

In this section we show that the rank of the derangement matrix M of $PSL(2,q)$ is equal to the dimension of the image of a certain $PGL(2,q)$-module homomorphism. Actually, we will show that $N = M^\top M$ is a matrix representation of a $PGL(2,q)$-module homomorphism. We will use this fact to compute the rank of M.

3.1. **The matrix N.** We identify the points of the projective line $PG(1,q)$ with elements of the set $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$, by letting $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ denote the point spanned by $(1,a) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ and denoting by ∞ the point spanned by $(0,1)$. We consider the natural right action of $PGL(2,q)$ on $PG(1,q)$. Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ and $g \in PGL(2,q)$. We use a^g to denote the element in $PG(1,q)$ obtained by applying g to a. The action of $PGL(2,q)$ on $PG(1,q)$ is faithful. Hence, we can associate with each element of $PGL(2,q)$ a permutation of the $q+1$ elements of $PG(1,q)$. Moreover, recall that an element $g \in PGL(2,q)$ is said to be a *derangement* if its associated permutation is fixed-point-free.

Definition 15. Let Ω be the set of ordered pairs of distinct projective points in $PG(1,q)$. The matrix N is a $q(q+1)$ by $q(q+1)$ matrix whose rows and columns are both indexed by the elements of Ω; for any $(a,b),(c,d) \in \Omega$ we define

$$N_{(a,b),(c,d)} = \text{ the number of derangements of } PSL(2,q) \text{ sending } a \text{ to } b \text{ and } c \text{ to } d.$$

Note that the above definition of N agrees with our former definition, $N = M^\top M$. Hence, basic linear algebra implies that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(N)$. The next lemma gives information about the entries of N.

Lemma 16. *Let $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$. Then,*

(1) $N_{(a,b),(a,b)} = \dfrac{(q-1)^2}{4}, \quad \forall (a,b) \in \Omega.$

(2) $N_{(a,b),(c,d)} = 0$, *if* $a = c, b \neq d$ *or* $a \neq c, b = d$.

(3) $N_{(a,b),(b,a)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \\ (q-1)/2, & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \bmod 4, \end{cases} \quad \forall (a,b) \in \Omega.$

(4) (a) $N_{(0,\infty),(1,0)} = \begin{cases} (q-1)/4, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \\ (q-3)/4, & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$

(b) $N_{(0,\infty),(1,d)} = \dfrac{q-3}{4} - \dfrac{\phi(1-d)}{2} - \dfrac{1}{4}\displaystyle\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \phi((x+x^{-1})^2 - 4d), \quad \forall d \neq 0,1,\infty.$

Moreover, the value of $N_{(a,b),(c,d)}$ for any $(a,b),(c,d) \in \Omega$ is given by one of the above expressions.

Proof. Let g be an arbitrary element in $PGL(2,q)$. Note that for every $h \in PSL(2,q)$ sending a to b and c to d, the element $g^{-1}hg \in PSL(2,q)$ sends a^g to b^g and c^g to d^g. Hence the entries of N satisfy the following property

$$N_{(a,b),(c,d)} = N_{(a^g,b^g),(c^g,d^g)}, \tag{3}$$

because $PSL(2,q)$ is a normal subgroup of $PGL(2,q)$ and the set of derangements in $PSL(2,q)$ is closed under conjugation. To prove Lemma 16 we proceed case by case.

- **Case 1**.

Recall that $N_{(a,b),(a,b)}$ is the number of derangements in $PSL(2,q)$ sending a to b. From (3) and the 2-transitivity of $PGL(2,q)$ we conclude that $N_{(a,b),(a,b)} = N_{(c,d),(c,d)}$ for any $(a,b),(c,d) \in \Omega$. The total number of derangements in $PSL(2,q)$ is $q(q-1)^2/4$ and this number can also be written as

$$\frac{q(q-1)^2}{4} = \sum_{\substack{b \in PG(1,q) \\ b \neq a}} N_{(a,b),(a,b)}, \quad \text{for any fixed } a \in PG(1,q),$$

which implies that $N_{(a,b),(a,b)} = (q-1)^2/4$ for every $(a,b) \in \Omega$.

- **Case 2**.

  Every element of $PSL(2,q)$ is related to a permutation of projective points in $PG(1,q)$. This implies $N_{(a,b)(a,d)} = 0$ and $N_{(a,b)(c,b)} = 0$ whenever $b \neq d$ and $a \neq c$.

- **Case 3**.

  Using the 2-transitivity of $PGL(2,q)$ and (3) we can assume without loss of generality that $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$. The elements $g_\lambda \in PSL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and ∞ to 0 are of the form

$$g_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ -\lambda^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

  This representation of elements in $PSL(2,q)$ is redundant because g_λ and $g_{-\lambda}$ represent the same element of $PSL(2,q)$. Let ξ be an element in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $\langle \xi \rangle = \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence, the set $\{g_\lambda : \lambda = \xi^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, (q-1)/2\}$ corresponds precisely to the $(q-1)/2$ elements in $PSL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and ∞ to 0.

  Recall that g_λ is a derangement if and only if its eigenvalues are not in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, g_λ is a derangement if and only if its characteristic polynomial,

$$p_\lambda(t) = \det \begin{vmatrix} -t & \lambda \\ -\lambda^{-1} & -t \end{vmatrix} = t^2 + 1,$$

  is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

  If $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ then -1 is a square in $\mathbb{F}_q$; so $p_\lambda(t)$ is reducible for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence $N_{(a,b),(b,a)} = N_{(0,\infty),(\infty,0)} = 0$ in this case. On the other hand, if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ then -1 is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_q$; this implies that $p_\lambda(t)$ is irreducible for every $\lambda \in F_q^*$. Thus $N_{(a,b),(b,a)} = N_{(0,\infty),(\infty,0)} = (q-1)/2$.

- **Case 4**.

  Every element of $PSL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d is of the form

$$g_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda \\ \lambda^{-1} & \lambda^{-1}d + \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

  Again note that g_λ and $g_{-\lambda}$ represent the same element of $PSL(2,q)$. The matrix g_λ is a derangement if and only if its characteristic polynomial,

$$p_\lambda(t) = \det \begin{vmatrix} -t & \lambda \\ \lambda^{-1} & \lambda^{-1}d + \lambda - t \end{vmatrix} = t^2 - (\lambda^{-1}d + \lambda)t + 1,$$

  is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$. To compute $N_{(0,\infty)(1,d)}$ it is enough to count the number of values of λ such that $p_\lambda(t)$ is reducible.

If $p_\lambda(t)$ is reducible then there exist x and y in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that

$$p_\lambda(t) = t^2 - (\lambda^{-1}d + \lambda)t + 1 = (t-x)(t-y) = t^2 - (x+y)t + xy.$$

Hence, $xy = 1$ and $x + y = \lambda^{-1}d + \lambda$. Assume without loss of generality that $y = x^{-1}$. If there exist values of λ such that g_λ has eigenvalues $\{x, x^{-1}\}$ then they have to satisfy the following quadratic equation

$$\lambda^2 - (x + x^{-1})\lambda + d = 0. \tag{4}$$

– **Case 4 (a)**:

If we assume $d = 0$ then $\lambda = 0$ is a solution of (4), however, that solution is not admissible by the definition of g_λ. Hence, we just consider the solution $\lambda = x + x^{-1}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Moreover, note that x and x^{-1} generate the same value of λ. In fact, we can relate to each set $\{x, x^{-1}\}$ a unique value of λ.

Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ be an element of order 4. Note that the set $\{k, k^{-1}\}$ does not generate any admissible value of λ. Thus, the number of values of λ such that $p_\lambda(t)$ is reducible is $(q-1)/2$. Therefore,

$$N_{(0,\infty),(1,0)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(q - 1 - \frac{q-1}{2}\right) = \frac{q-1}{4}.$$

On the other hand, if $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ then $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ does not have an element of order 4. This implies that every set $\{x, x^{-1}\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^*$ generates an admissible value of λ. Thus, the number of values for λ such that $p_\lambda(t)$ is reducible is $(q+1)/2$ and $N_{(0,\infty),(1,0)} = (q-3)/4$.

– **Case 4 (b)**:

The number of solutions of (4) in $\mathbb{F}_q$ is given by $1 + \phi((x + x^{-1})^2 - 4d)$. In this case, we also have that x and x^{-1} generate the same values of λ. Thus, the number of values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $p_\lambda(t)$ is reducible is

$$2(1 + \phi(1-d)) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ x \neq 1, -1}} (1 + \phi((x + x^{-1})^2 - 4d)),$$

Therefore, for $d \neq 0, 1, \infty$,

$$N_{(0,\infty),(1,d)} = \frac{1}{2}[q - 1 - (2(1 + \phi(1-d)) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ x \neq 1, -1}} (1 + \phi((x + x^{-1})^2 - 4d))]$$

which gives the desired formula for $N_{(0,\infty),(1,d)}$.

□

Corollary 17. *Let $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $d \neq 0, 1$. The number of derangements of $PSL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d can be expressed in terms of the Legendre sum with respect to ϕ. Specifically,*

$$N_{(0,\infty),(1,d)} = \frac{q-1}{4} - \frac{\phi(1-d)}{2} - \frac{q}{4}P_\phi(2d-1). \tag{5}$$

Proof. To prove this corollary we use part 4(b) of Lemma 16 and the following computation,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d) &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi(x^2-2(2d-1)x+1)(1+\phi(x))\\ &= -2+qP_\phi(2d-1)\end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. **A permutation $PGL(2,q)$-module.** In this section we define a $PGL(2,q)$-module V and a $PGL(2,q)$-module homomorphism T_N from V to V. We use the subscript N to emphasize that N is the matrix associated with T_N with respect to a certain basis of V.

Recall that we denote by Ω the set of ordered pairs of distinct projective points in $PG(1,q)$. Let V be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space spanned by the vectors $\{e_\omega\}_{\omega\in\Omega}$, therefore, the dimension of V is $q(q+1)$.

We define a right action of $PGL(2,q)$ on the basis $\{e_\omega\}$ of V. Specifically, if $\omega=(a,b)$ then

$$e_\omega\cdot g=e_{\omega^g}=e_{(a^g,b^g)}$$

for any $g\in PGL(2,q)$. Thus, V is a right permutation $PGL(2,q)$-module. The next lemma shows that V has a very simple decomposition into irreducible modules; apart from $V_{\lambda_{-1}}$ and V_{ψ_1} each irreducible module of $PGL(2,q)$ appears exactly once.

Let (χ,ψ) denote the inner product of the characters χ and ψ of $PGL(2,q)$ (see [28, Section 2.3]).

Lemma 18. *Let V_χ denote an irreducible module of $PGL(2,q)$ with character χ. Then the decomposition of V into irreducible constituents is given by,*

$$V\cong V_{\lambda_1}\oplus 2V_{\psi_1}\oplus V_{\psi_{-1}}\oplus\bigoplus_{\beta\in B}V_{\eta_\beta}\oplus\bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}V_{\nu_\gamma}$$

Proof. Let π be the character afforded by the $PGL(2,q)$-module V. By definition we have

$$\pi(g)=|\{\omega\in\Omega:\omega^g=\omega\}|$$

hence the character π has an easy description,

	1	u	d_x	v_r
π	$q(q+1)$	0	2	0

Now let V_χ be an irreducible representation of $PGL(2,q)$ and χ its irreducible character. It is known ([28, Chapter 2, Theorem 4]) that the multiplicity of V_χ in V is equal to the character inner product (π,χ) Now, the lemma follows by direct calculation using the character table of $PGL(2,q)$. □

For $a,b\in PG(1,q)$, consider the following vectors in V,

$$l_{a,b} = \sum_{\substack{p\in PG(1,q)\\ p\neq a,b}}(e_{(a,p)}-e_{(b,p)})+e_{(a,b)}-e_{(b,a)} \tag{6}$$

$$r_{a,b} = \sum_{\substack{p\in PG(1,q)\\ p\neq a,b}}(e_{(p,a)}-e_{(p,b)})+e_{(b,a)}-e_{(a,b)} \tag{7}$$

We use these vectors to define the following vector subspaces of V,

$$V_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{l_{a,b} : a, b \in PG(1,q)\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{r_{a,b} : a, b \in PG(1,q)\}$$

In fact, the next lemma shows that V_1 and V_2 are $PGL(2,q)$-submodules of V.

Lemma 19. *The vector subspaces V_1 and V_2 satisfy the following properties,*

(1) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_2) = q$
(2) $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$
(3) *V_1 and V_2 are $PGL(2,q)$-submodules of V*
(4) $V_1 \cong V_2$ *as $PGL(2,q)$-modules*

Proof. Note that the vectors defined in (6) and (7) satisfy the following relations,

$$l_{a,b} - l_{a,c} = l_{c,b} \quad \text{and } r_{a,b} - r_{a,c} = r_{c,b}$$

for all $a, b, c \in PG(1,q)$ with $a \neq b \neq c$. Hence, fixing $a \in PG(1,q)$ we get that $\{l_{a,b} : b \in PG(1,q), b \neq a\}$ and $\{r_{a,b} : b \in PG(1,q), b \neq a\}$ are basis for V_1 and V_2, respectively.

To prove the conclusion in part (2) we proceed by contradiction. Assume there exists $v \in V_1 \cap V_2$ with $v \neq 0$. Hence we can write,

$$v = \sum_{\substack{p \in PG(1,q) \\ p \neq a}} \alpha_p l_{a,p} = \sum_{\substack{p \in PG(1,q) \\ p \neq a}} \beta_p r_{a,p} \tag{8}$$

where not all α_p and β_p are equal to zero.

For a fix $b \in PG(1,q)$, the vector $l_{a,b}$ is the only one in the set $\{l_{a,p}\}_{p \in PG(1,q)}$ that contains $e_{(b,a)}$. On the other hand, every vector of the form $r_{a,p}$ contains $e_{(b,a)}$. Therefore, using (8) we get,

$$\alpha_b = \sum_{\substack{p \in PG(1,q) \\ p \neq a}} \beta_p$$

which implies that the values of the coefficients α_p in (8) are all the same. Analogously, we can show that the values β_p in (8) are the same. Thus, we can rewrite equation (8) in the following way,

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in PG(1,q) \\ p \neq a}} l_{a,p} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sum_{\substack{p \in PG(1,q) \\ p \neq a}} r_{a,p}$$

where $\alpha = \sum_{p \neq a} \beta_p$ and $\beta = \sum_{p \neq a} \alpha_p$. This implies that $\beta/\alpha = 1/q$, a contradiction.

To prove part (3) it is enough to note that $l_{a,b} \cdot g = l_{a^g,b^g}$ and $r_{a,b} \cdot g = r_{a^g,b^g}$ for all $a, b \in PG(1,q)$ with $a \neq b$. For part (4) consider the function θ from V_1 to V_2 defined by $\theta(l_{a,b}) = r_{a,b}$ for all $a, b \in PG(1,q)$ with $a \neq b$; we extend the definition of θ to all elements of V_1 linearly. Now, from the definition of θ we see that clearly

$$\theta(l_{(a,b)} \cdot g) = \theta(l_{(a,b)}) \cdot g$$

for all $g \in PGL(2,q)$ and $(a,b) \in \Omega$. Therefore, θ is a $PGL(2,q)$-module isomorphism. This completes the proof of part (4). □

Lemma 20. *The submodules V_1 and V_2 are isomorphic to V_{ψ_1}.*

Proof. This result follows directly from Lemmas 18 and 19. If we consider the decomposition of V into irreducible constituents, we note that each irreducible representation appears only once, except for V_{ψ_1} . Therefore, because V_1 is isomorphic to V_2, we must have $V_{\psi_1} \cong V_1 \cong V_2$. □

We now define a linear transformation T_N from V to V. We first define T_N on the basis $\{e_\omega\}_{\omega\in\Omega}$ of V by

$$T_N(e_{(a,b)}) = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega} N_{\omega,(a,b)} e_\omega$$

for any $(a, b) \in \Omega$, and then extend the definition of T_N to all elements of V linearly. It follows from the definition of T_N that N is the matrix associated with T_N with respect to the basis $\{e_\omega\}_{\omega\in\Omega}$ of V. Therefore, the dimension of the image of T_N is equal to the rank of the derangement matrix M of $PSL(2, q)$ acting on $PG(1, q)$.

Lemma 21. *The linear transformation T_N defined above is a $PGL(2, q)$-module homomorphism from V to V.*

Proof. To prove the lemma we just need to check that the next equation

$$T_N(e_{(a,b)} \cdot g) = T_N(e_{(a,b)}) \cdot g \tag{9}$$

holds for all $g \in PGL(2, q)$ and $(a, b) \in \Omega$. First, consider the left hand side of (9). From the definition of T_N it follows that

$$T_N(e_{(a,b)} \cdot g) = T_N(e_{(a^g,b^g)}) = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega} N_{\omega,(a^g,b^g)} e_\omega.$$

Furthermore, note that using equation (3), the right hand side of (9) can be written as

$$T_N(e_{(a,b)}) \cdot g = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega} N_{\omega,(a,b)} e_{\omega^g} = \sum_{\omega^{g^{-1}}\in\Omega} N_{\omega^{g^{-1}},(a,b)} e_\omega = \sum_{\omega\in\Omega} N_{\omega,(a^g,b^g)} e_\omega$$

which implies that (9) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

3.3. **The image of T_N.** Recall that the rank of the derangement matrix M of $PSL(2, q)$ acting on $PG(1, q)$ is equal to the dimension of the image of T_N. Since T_N is a $PGL(2, q)$-module homomorphism (Lemma 21) we can use some tools from representation theory to compute the dimension of the image of T_N. We start by observing that the submodules V_1 and V_2 are in the kernel of T_N.

Lemma 22. *The subspaces V_1 and V_2 lie in the kernel of T_N.*

Proof. First, recall that the derangement matrix M is a $q(q-1)^2/4$ by $(q+1)q$ matrix whose rows are indexed by the derangements of $PSL(2, q)$ and whose columns are indexed by elements of Ω. For any derangement $g \in PSL(2, q)$ and $(a, b) \in \Omega$ we have

$$M(g, (a, b)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a^g = b, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, also by definition we have $N = M^\top M$. Thus, the lemma follows from the following observation

$$Ml_{a,b} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Mr_{a,b} = 0 \quad \text{for all } a, b \in PG(1, q), \text{ with } a \neq b,$$

and the fact that for a fix $a \in PG(1,q)$ the sets $\{l_{a,b} : b \in PG(1,q), b \neq a\}$ and $\{r_{a,b} : b \in PG(1,q), b \neq a\}$ are basis of V_1 and V_2, respectively.

□

From Lemma 20 and 22, we conclude that the restriction of T_N to $2V_{\psi_1}$ is the zero map. It follows that the dimension of the image of T_N is at most $q(q-1)$. Now, we consider the restriction of T_N onto the other irreducible constituents of V. To do that we apply Schur's lemma.

Let χ be the irreducible character corresponding to an irreducible representation of $PGL(2,q)$ appearing as a constituent of V. Schur's lemma implies that,

$$T_N(V_\chi) \cong V_\chi \quad \text{or} \quad T_N(V_\chi) = \{0\}.$$

Thus, either the dimension of the restriction of T_N to V_χ is zero or is equal to the dimension of V_χ. Hence, to study the image of V_χ under T_N for any $\chi \in \{\lambda_1, \psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$ we proceed in the following way:

(1) Consider the vector $e_{(0,\infty)} \in V$.
(2) Project $e_{(0,\infty)}$ onto V_χ using the following scalar multiple of a central primitive idempotent

$$E_\chi = \sum_{g\in PGL(2,q)} \chi(g^{-1})g.$$

Therefore, the projection of $e_{(0,\infty)}$ onto V_χ is equal to

$$E_\chi(e_{(0,\infty)}) = \sum_{g\in PGL(2,q)} \chi(g^{-1})e_{(0^g,\infty^g)} = \sum_{(a,b)\in\Omega} \left[\sum_{0^g=a,\infty^g=b} \chi(g^{-1})\right] e_{(a,b)}.$$

where g in the inner sum runs over all elements in $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to a and ∞ to b.

(3) To prove that $T_N(V_\chi) \cong V_\chi$ it is enough to show that the $(0,\infty)$ coordinate of $T_N(E_\chi(e_{(0,\infty)}))$ is not equal to zero. This is equivalent to showing that the following character sum is not equal to zero:

$$T_{N,\chi} := T_N(E_\chi(e_{(0,\infty)}))_{(0,\infty)} = \sum_{(a,b)\in\Omega} \left[\sum_{0^g=a,\infty^g=b} \chi(g^{-1})\right] N_{(0,\infty),(a,b)}, \tag{10}$$

where g in the inner sum runs over all elements in $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to a and ∞ to b.

Therefore, we get the following lower bound on the rank of the derangement matrix M,

$$\sum_\chi \dim(V_\chi) \leq \operatorname{rank}(M), \tag{11}$$

where χ in the sum on the left hand side of (11) runs through $\{\lambda_1, \psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$ such that $T_{N,\chi} \neq 0$. In particular, if $T_{N,\chi}$ is not zero for all $\chi \in \{\lambda_1, \psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$ then the rank of the derangement matrix M is equal to $q(q-1)$. We conclude that to prove Theorem 3, it is enough to show that the values of the character sums $T_{N,\chi}$ with $\chi \in \{\lambda_1, \psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$ are not equal to zero. This will be our objective in the next two sections.

4. The character sums $\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0} \chi(g^{-1})$ and $\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d} \chi(g^{-1})$

The sums $T_{N,\chi}$ are character sums over $PGL(2,q)$. In general, it is not easy to get tight bounds on the values of characters sums over non-abelian groups. Fortunately, the close relationship between the irreducible characters of $PGL(2,q)$ and the multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ allows us to conclude in Section 5 that the expressions $T_{N,\chi}$ are not equal to zero. In this section, we show that we can express the sums $T_{N,\chi}$ in terms of characters sums over finite fields for every $\chi \in \{\lambda_1, \psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$.

First, we consider $T_{N,\chi}$ when $\chi = \lambda_1$. In this case, we know that $\lambda_1(g) = 1$ for any $g \in PGL(2,q)$. Moreover, there are precisely $q-1$ elements of $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to a and ∞ to b for any $a, b \in PG(1,q)$. Therefore, we can compute (10) explicitly for $\chi = \lambda_1$:

$$T_{N,\lambda_1} = (q-1)\sum_{(a,b)\in\Omega} N_{(0,\infty)(a,b)} = (q-1)(q+1)\frac{(q-1)^2}{4},$$

where we have used Lemma 16 to obtain the last equality. Thus, from the analysis given in Section 3.3 we conclude that $T_N(V_{\lambda_1}) \cong V_{\lambda_1}$.

The other irreducible characters of $PGL(2,q)$ are not so easy to handle. The next lemma gives an expression for $T_{N,\chi}$ with $\chi \in \{\psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$ which will be helpful to write (10) in terms of character sums over finite fields.

Lemma 23. *Let χ be any irreducible character of $PGL(2,q)$ from the set $\{\psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$. Let h be the unique element of $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to 0, 1 to ∞, and ∞ to 1. If $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ then*

$$T_{N,\chi} = \frac{(q-1)^3}{4} - \frac{q-1}{2}\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0}\chi(g^{-1}) + (q-1)\sum_{\substack{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ b\neq 1}}\left[\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=b^h}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(1,b)},$$

and if $q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ then

$$T_{N,\chi} = \frac{(q-1)^3}{4} + \sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0}\chi(g^{-1}) + (q-1)\sum_{\substack{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ b\neq 1}}\left[\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=b^h}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(1,b)}.$$

Proof. From (10) and Lemma 16 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{N,\chi} &= \frac{(q-1)^2}{4}\sum_{0^g=0,\infty^g=\infty}\chi(g^{-1}) + \left[\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(\infty,0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\left[\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=b}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(\infty,b)} + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\left[\sum_{0^g=a,\infty^g=0}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(a,0)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ a\neq b}}\left[\sum_{0^g=a,\infty^g=b}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(a,b)} \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $PGL(2,q)_{0,\infty}$ the subgroup of $PGL(2,q)$ fixing 0 and ∞. Analogously, $PGL(2,q)_0$ denotes the subgroup of $PGL(2,q)$ fixing 0. Applying the Frobenius Reciprocity Theorem [28, Chapter 7, Theorem 13], we obtain the following equations:

$$(\mathrm{Res}(\chi),1)_{PGL(2,q)_{0,\infty}} = (\chi,\pi)_{PGL(2,q)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{Res}(\chi),1)_{PGL(2,q)_0} = (\chi,\lambda_1+\psi_1)_{PGL(2,q)}$$

where π is the permutation character defined in the proof of Lemma 18 and 1 is the trivial character of the groups $PGL(2,q)_{0,\infty}$ and $PGL(2,q)_0$, respectively. Using these equations and the transitivity of $PGL(2,q)$ we evaluate the following character sums,

$$\sum_{0^g=0,\infty^g=\infty} \chi(g^{-1}) = q-1, \quad \sum_{0^g=0} \chi(g^{-1}) = 0, \quad \sum_{\infty^g=\infty} \chi(g^{-1}) = 0.$$

Note that $\chi(kgk^{-1}) = \chi(g)$ for any $k \in PGL(2,q)$ because χ is a character. Thus, from the above equations and the 2-transitivity of $PGL(2,q)$ we get

$$\sum_{0^g=\infty} \chi(g^{-1}) = \sum_{\infty^g=0} \chi(g^{-1}) = 0.$$

Now assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. From Lemma 16 we have $N_{(0,\infty),(\infty,b)} = N_{(0,\infty),(a,0)} = (q-1)/4$ for all $a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence, using the above analysis we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \left[\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=b} \chi(g^{-1})\right] N_{(0,\infty),(\infty,b)} &= \frac{q-1}{4} \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \left[\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=b} \chi(g^{-1})\right] \\
&= \frac{q-1}{4}\left[\sum_{0^g=\infty} \chi(g^{-1}) - \sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0} \chi(g^{-1})\right] \\
&= -\frac{(q-1)}{4} \sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0} \chi(g^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and using the same ideas we get

$$\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \left[\sum_{0^g=a,\infty^g=0} \chi(g^{-1})\right] N_{(0,\infty),(a,0)} = -\frac{(q-1)}{4} \sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0} \chi(g^{-1}).$$

A similar computation works for the case when $q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$.

Let $a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $a \neq b$. Using the 3-transitivity of the action of $PGL(2,q)$ on $PG(1,q)$ and (3) we conclude that $N_{(0,\infty),(a,b)} = N_{(0,\infty)(1,b^h)}$ where $h \in PGL(2,q)$ is the unique element sending 0 to 0, ∞ to ∞ and a to 1. Moreover, using the definition of h we obtain

$$\sum_{0^g=a,\infty^g=b} \chi(g^{-1}) = \sum_{0^g=1,\infty^g=b^h} \chi(g^{-1}).$$

Therefore, putting all these together we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{a,b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ a\neq b}}\left[\sum_{0^g=a,\infty^g=b}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(a,b)} &= (q-1)\sum_{\substack{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ b\neq 1}}\left[\sum_{0^g=1,\infty^g=b}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(1,b)}\\ &= (q-1)\sum_{\substack{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\ b\neq 1}}\left[\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=b^h}\chi(g^{-1})\right]N_{(0,\infty),(1,b)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

It follows from Lemma 23 that we can write $T_{N,\chi}$ in terms of the character sums

$$\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0}\chi(g^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d}\chi(g^{-1}).$$

The next four lemmas show that these character sums can be be written in terms of character sums over finite fields for all $\chi\in\{\psi_{-1},\{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B},\{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$.

Lemma 24. *Let i be an element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $i^2\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0}\psi_{-1}(g^{-1}) &= \phi(-1)(q-1),\\ \sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0}\nu_\gamma(g^{-1}) &= \gamma(-1)(q-1) \quad \textit{for all } \gamma\in\Gamma,\\ \sum_{0^g=\infty,\infty^g=0}\eta_\beta(g^{-1}) &= -\beta(i)(q-1) \quad \textit{for all } \beta\in B.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The elements in $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and ∞ to 0 are of the form,

$$g_\lambda=\begin{pmatrix}0 & \lambda\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \lambda\in\mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

To evaluate the character sums in this lemma we need to know to which conjugacy classes these elements belong. Note that the characteristic polynomial of g_λ is $p_\lambda(t)=t^2-\lambda$.

First, recall that the eigenvalues of g_λ are defined up to multiplication by an element of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Now, if λ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ then $p_\lambda(t)$ is reducible and g_λ has eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{\lambda}\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. This implies that g_λ lies in the conjugacy class d_{-1} whenever λ is a square. On the other hand, if λ is not a square the roots of $p_\lambda(t)$ lie on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and they correspond to elements of order 2 in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*/\mathbb{F}_q^*$. Therefore, whenever λ is not a square we see that g_λ lies on the conjugacy class v_i.

Since there are equal number of squares and nonsquares in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, the lemma follows from the character table of $PGL(2,q)$ and Lemma 16. □

Lemma 25. *For every $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and $d\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\setminus\{1\}$ we have*

$$\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d}\nu_\gamma(g^{-1})=qP_\gamma(2d-1).$$

Proof. The elements in $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d are of the form,

$$g_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha\lambda \\ \alpha & \alpha(d-\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

To evaluate the sum in this lemma we need to know to which conjugacy classes these elements belongs. However, we need to do this just for those elements which are not derangements because $\nu_\gamma(g) = 0$ if g is a derangement.

Note that different values of α correspond to the same element g_λ in $PGL(2,q)$. Indeed, as was remarked earlier the eigenvalues of g_λ are defined up to scalar multiplication.

The characteristic polynomial of g_λ is $p_\lambda(t) = t^2 - \alpha(d-\lambda)t - \alpha^2\lambda$ and its eigenvalues are,

$$t = \alpha\left(\frac{(d-\lambda) \pm \sqrt{(d-\lambda)^2 + 4\lambda)}}{2}\right).$$

Thus, if $\sqrt{(d-\lambda)^2+4\lambda} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that the eigenvalues of g_λ are $\{1, x\}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. This implies that g_λ is contained in the same conjugacy class as d_x (see Section 2.2). Here, we assume that d_x with $x = 1$ corresponds to the element $u \in PGL(2,q)$ defined in Section 2.2.

For a fixed $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ we want to know for how many $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ there exists some α such that g_λ has eigenvalues $\{1, x\}$. From the above analysis it is clear that d, x, α and λ must satisfy the equation below:

$$p_\lambda(t) = t^2 - \alpha(d-\lambda)t - \alpha^2\lambda = (t-x)(t-1) = t^2 - (x+1)t + x.$$

This implies that α satisfies the following quadratic equation,

$$d\alpha^2 - (x+1)\alpha + x = 0. \tag{12}$$

Therefore, given $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$, the number of values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that g_λ is conjugate to d_x is equal to

$$1 + \phi((x+1)^2 - 4xd) \text{ if } x \neq -1 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \phi((x+1)^2 - 4xd))/2 \text{ if } x = -1.$$

Furthermore, it is important to note that every element g_λ having eigenvalues $\{1, x\}$ also has eigenvalues $\{1, x^{-1}\}$. Hence, given $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$, the elements x and x^{-1} are related to the same values of λ. Now using the above remarks and the character table of $PGL(2,q)$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0^g=\infty, 1^g=d} \nu_\gamma(g) &= (1+\phi(1-d))\gamma(1) + \left(\frac{1+\phi(d)}{2}\right)(2\gamma(-1)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{x\neq 1,-1 \\ x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}} (1+\phi((x+1)^2-4xd))(\gamma(x)+\gamma(x^{-1})) \\
&= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \gamma(x)\phi(x^2 - 2(2d-1)x + 1) \\
&= qP_\gamma(2d-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying basic properties of characters and Lemma 13 we obtain

$$\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d} \nu_\gamma(g^{-1}) = \overline{\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d} \nu_\gamma(g)} = qP_{\gamma^{-1}}(2d-1) = qP_\gamma(2d-1).$$

□

Lemma 26. *For every $\beta \in B$ and $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$ we have,*

$$\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d} \eta_\beta(g^{-1}) = -qR_\beta(2d-1).$$

Proof. Recall that the elements in $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d all take the form,

$$g_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha\lambda \\ \alpha & \alpha(d-\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

To evaluate the sum in this lemma we have to know to which conjugacy classes these elements belong. However, since $\eta_\beta(g) = 0$ if g has two fixed points, we will pay attention to derangements and the elements fixing one point only (see Section 2.2).

We know that if $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \setminus \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is an eigenvalue of g_λ then g_λ is a derangement with eigenvalues $\{r, r^q\}$ contained in the same conjugacy class as v_r. On the other hand, if $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is the only eigenvalue of g_λ then this implies that g_λ has exactly one fixed point and it is conjugated to u. In fact, when $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ every element of the form v_r is conjugated to u.

Fix $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. We want to know for how many values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ there exists α such that g_λ has eigenvalues $\{r, r^q\}$. From the characteristic polynomial of g_λ the following equation is obtained

$$t^2 - \alpha(d-\lambda)t - \alpha^2\lambda = t^2 - (r+r^q)t + r^{q+1},$$

which implies that $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ must satisfy the quadratic equation below

$$d\alpha^2 - (r+r^q)\alpha + r^{q+1} = 0. \tag{13}$$

Distinct solutions of (13) generate distinct values of λ unless $r \in i\mathbb{F}_q$ where i is an element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ satisfying that $i^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence, given $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$, the number of $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that g_λ is conjugated to v_r is equal to:

$$1 + \phi((r+r^q)^2 - 4dr^{q+1}) \text{ if } r \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \setminus i\mathbb{F}_q^* \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \phi((r+r^q)^2 - 4dr^{q+1}))/2 \text{ if } r \in i\mathbb{F}_q^*.$$

Moreover, note that every element g_λ having eigenvalues $\{r, r^q\}$ also has eigenvalues $\{ar, (ar)^q\}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Thus, r and ar are related to the same value of λ for every

$a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d} \eta_\beta(g^{-1}) &= \frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}(1+\phi((r+r^q)^2-4dr^{q+1}))(-\beta(1)) \\ &+\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{r\in i\mathbb{F}_q^*}\left(\frac{1+\phi((r+r^q)^2-4dr^{q+1})}{2}\right)(-2\beta(i)) \\ &+\frac{1}{2(q-1)}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*\setminus\{\mathbb{F}_q^*,i\mathbb{F}_q^*\}}(1+\phi((r+r^q)^2-4dr^{q+1}))(-\beta(r)-\beta(r^q)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*}\phi((r+r^q)^2-4dr^{q+1}))\beta(r)\end{aligned}$$

Now, the lemma follows from Definition 9.

□

Lemma 27. *For every $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{1\}$ we have,*

$$\sum_{0^g=\infty,1^g=d}\psi_{-1}(g) = qP_\phi(2d-1).$$

Proof. From the character table of $PGL(2,q)$ it follows that,

$$\psi_{-1}(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \in u \\ 1 & \text{if } g \in d_x \text{ and } d_x \subset PSL(2,q) \\ -1 & \text{if } g \in d_x \text{ and } d_x \subset PGL(2,q)\setminus PSL(2,q) \\ -1 & \text{if } g \in v_r \text{ and } v_r \subset PSL(2,q) \\ 1 & \text{if } g \in v_r \text{ and } v_r \subset PGL(2,q)\setminus PSL(2,q)\end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Thus, to evaluate the sum $\sum_g \psi_{-1}(g)$ we need to know: how many elements sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d belong to each of the five categories considered in (14). In fact, these counting problems follow from the proof of Case (4) of Lemma 16.

For the sake of clarity, we recall some simple facts. There are $q-1$ elements in $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d, and half of them are in $PSL(2,q)$. It was proved by Meagher and Spiga [19] that if $1-d$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ then $(q-1)/2$ of these elements are derangements. On the other hand, if $1-d$ is not a square then $(q+1)/2$ of these elements are derangements.

First, assume that $1-d$ is a square. We can divide the $(q-1)/2$ elements of $PSL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d into three categories:

- 2 fix just one point.
- $\dfrac{1}{4}\displaystyle\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,x\neq 1,-1}(1+\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d))$ fix exactly two points.
- $\dfrac{q-5}{4}-\dfrac{1}{4}\displaystyle\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d))$ are derangements.

A similar analysis can be carried out when $1-d$ is not a square. Specifically, from the $(q-1)/2$ elements of $PSL(2,q)$ sending 0 to ∞ and 1 to d,

- There are no elements fixing exactly one point.

- $\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, x\neq 1,-1}(1+\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d))$ fix two points.
- $\frac{q-1}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d))$ are derangements.

Putting all the above remarks together and assuming that $1-d$ is a square we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0^g=\infty, 1^g=d}\psi_{-1}(g) &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, x\neq 1,-1}(1+\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d)) \\
&\quad -\left(\frac{q-1}{2}-2-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, x\neq 1,-1}(1+\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d))\right) \\
&\quad -\left(\frac{q-5}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d))\right) \\
&\quad +\left(\frac{q-1}{2}-\frac{q-5}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d))\right) \\
&= 2+\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi((x+x^{-1})^2-4d) \\
&= 2+\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\phi(x^2-2(2d-1)x+1)(1+\phi(x)) \\
&= qP_\phi(2d-1).
\end{aligned}$$

The case when $(1-d)$ is not a square follows from similar computations. □

5. The restriction of T_N onto $V_{\psi_{-1}}$, V_{ν_γ} and V_{η_β}

In this section, we study the restriction of T_N onto the irreducible constituents, $V_{\psi_{-1}}$, $\{V_{\nu_\gamma}\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and $\{V_{\eta_\beta}\}_{\beta\in B}$, of V. From Schur's Lemma we know that the restriction of T_N onto any irreducible module is an isomorphism or the zero map. The next theorem shows that the restriction of T_N onto V_{η_β} is a $PGL(2,q)$-module isomorphism for every $\beta\in B$.

For the proofs below, we will need the following function in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} f: & \mathbb{F}_q & \to & \mathbb{C} \\ & x & \mapsto & \phi(1-x)P_\phi(x) \end{array}.$$

Note that the norm of f is closely related to the norm of P_ϕ,

$$\|f\|^2=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q}f(x)^2m(x)=\sum_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{F}_q\\ x\neq 1}}P_\phi(x)^2m(x)=\|P_\phi\|^2-\frac{q+1}{q^2}=1-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2}{q^2},$$

where we have used Lemma 10 in the last equality.

Theorem 28. *For every $\beta\in B$ we have*

$$T_N(V_{\eta_\beta})\cong V_{\eta_\beta}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $T_{N,\eta_\beta} \neq 0$ for all $\beta \in B$. Using (5), Lemma 23, 24 and 26, and after some computations the following expression for T_{N,η_β} is obtained:

$$T_{N,\eta_\beta} = \frac{(q-1)}{4}\left[q^2+q+(q+1)\,\beta(i)\phi(-1)+q^2\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,b\neq 1} R_\beta(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1)\right], \tag{15}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $i^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. We will show that the expression on the right hand side of (15) is not equal to zero.

We claim that the character sum

$$\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,b\neq 1} R_\beta(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1) \tag{16}$$

can be expressed in terms of the function f. Recall that h is the unique element in $PGL(2,q)$ sending 0 to 0, 1 to ∞ and ∞ to 1. Hence, if $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $b \neq 1$ then $b^h \neq 0, 1, \infty$. Moreover, we have the following formula for b^h when $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $b \neq 1$,

$$b^h = \frac{b}{b-1}$$

which implies that $(b^h)^h = b$ for any $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus, we can rewrite the sum in (16) as,

$$\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,b\neq 1} R_\beta(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1) = \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,b\neq 1} P_\phi(2b^h-1)R_\beta(2b-1).$$

Using the relation between Legendre sums and hypergeometric sums given by Lemma 14 and the transformation formula in Lemma 5, the following expression for $P_\phi(2b^h-1)$ is obtained

$$P_\phi(2b^h-1) = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi\\ & \epsilon\end{matrix};\ \frac{1}{1-b};q\right] = \phi(1-b){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi\\ & \epsilon\end{matrix};\ 1-b;q\right] = \phi(1-b)P_\phi(2b-1),$$

for $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $b \neq 0, 1$. Putting all the above remarks together we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,b\neq 1} R_\beta(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1) &= \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*,b\neq 1} \phi(1-b)P_\phi(2b-1)R_\beta(2b-1)\\ &= \phi(2)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q,x\neq\pm 1}\phi(1-x)P_\phi(x)R_\beta(x)\\ &= \phi(2)\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1/2}\langle f,R'_\beta\rangle-(q+1)\frac{\beta(i)\phi(-1)}{q^2}\end{aligned}$$

where i is an element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $i^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Therefore, combining the above expression for (16) and (15), we can also express T_{N,η_β} in terms of the function f,

$$T_{N,\eta_\beta} = \frac{q^2(q-1)}{4}\left[1+\frac{1}{q}+\phi(2)\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1/2}\langle f,R'_\beta\rangle\right]. \tag{17}$$

Recall that $\{P'_\epsilon, P'_\phi, P'_\gamma, R'_\beta : \ \gamma \in \Gamma, \beta \in B\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$. Thus, we can write f in terms of this orthonormal basis,

$$f = \langle f, P'_\epsilon\rangle P'_\epsilon + \langle f, P'_\phi\rangle P'_\phi + \sum_\gamma \langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle P'_\gamma + \sum_\beta \langle f, R'_\beta\rangle R'_\beta.$$

and also the squared norm of f,

$$\|f\|^2 = \langle f, P'_\epsilon\rangle^2 + \langle f, P'_\phi\rangle^2 + \sum_\gamma \langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle^2 + \sum_\beta \langle f, R'_\beta\rangle^2.$$

where we have used the fact the coefficients in the expansion of f are all real (cf. Lemma 13).

On the other hand, we know that the squared norm of f is $1 - 1/q - 2/q^2$. This implies that the square of every coefficient of the form $\langle f, g\rangle$ is less than 1 for all $g \in \{P'_\epsilon, P'_\phi, P'_\gamma, R'_\beta : \ \gamma \in \Gamma, \beta \in B\}$. In particular, $\langle f, R'_\beta\rangle^2 \leq 1 - 1/q - 2/q^2$ for all $\beta \in B$. This together with (17) proves this theorem. □

Unfortunately, the argument used in the proof of Theorem 28 cannot be applied to show that the restriction of T_N onto the irreducible module $V_{\psi_{-1}}$ is a $PGL(2,q)$-module isomorphism. To deal with this case we exploit the connection between Legendre sums and Hypergeometric sums shown by Kable in [16].

Lemma 29. *Let γ be a nontrivial multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\gamma\rangle = q^3\, {}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; \ 1; q\right] + \phi(-1)\gamma(-1)q.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4 and 14 we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\gamma\rangle &= \phi(2)q^2 \sum_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{F}_q \\ x\neq\pm 1}} \phi(1-x)P_\phi(x)P_\gamma(x) + q^2 P_\phi(-1)P_\gamma(-1)m(-1) \\
&= q^2 \sum_{\substack{y\in\mathbb{F}_q^* \\ y\neq 1}} \phi(y)\, {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; \ y; q\right] {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; \ y; q\right] + \phi(-1)\gamma(-1)(q+1) \\
&= q^2 \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \phi(y)\, {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; \ y; q\right] {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} \\ & \epsilon\end{matrix}; \ y; q\right] + \phi(-1)\gamma(-1)q \\
&= q^3\, {}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} & \phi & \phi \\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; \ 1; q\right] + \phi(-1)\gamma(-1)q.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 30. *If $q \geq 7$ then,*

$$T_N(V_{\psi_{-1}}) \cong V_{\psi_{-1}}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $T_{N,\psi_{-1}} \neq 0$. Using (5), Lemma 23, 24 and 27, and after some computations we get

$$T_{N,\psi_{-1}} = \frac{(q-1)}{4}\left[q^2 - 2q - 3 - q^2 \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, b\neq 1} P_\phi(2b^h - 1)P_\phi(2b-1)\right].$$

Let f be the function in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$ defined before the statement of Theorem 28. By Lemma 5 and 14 we see that the sum

$$\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, b\neq 1} P_\phi(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1)$$

can be written in terms of the function f. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, b\neq 1} P_\phi(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1) &= \sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, b\neq 1} \phi(1-b)P_\phi(2b-1)P_\phi(2b-1)\\ &= \phi(2)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q, x\neq\pm 1} \phi(1-x)P_\phi(x)P_\phi(x)\\ &= \phi(2)\langle f, P_\phi\rangle - \frac{q+1}{q^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T_{N,\psi_{-1}}$ can be expressed in terms of f:

$$T_{N,\psi_{-1}} = \frac{(q-1)}{4}\left[q^2-q-2-\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\phi\rangle\right]. \tag{18}$$

We claim that $\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\phi\rangle \leq 2q^{3/2}$. This claim together with (18) immediately implies that $T_{N,\psi_{-1}} \neq 0$ for every $q \geq 7$.

To prove our claim we note that the character sum $\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\phi\rangle$ can be written in terms of a hypergeometric sum ${}_4\mathbb{F}_3$. Letting $\gamma = \phi$ in Lemma 29,

$$\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\phi\rangle = q^3\,{}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi & \phi & \phi\\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; 1; q\right] + q.$$

Therefore, our claim follows directly from the final conclusion of Proposition 7. □

To study the restriction of T_N onto V_{ν_γ} we consider two cases. First, if γ is a character whose order is not equal to three, four or six then we can apply arguments similar to the ones used in the proof of Theorem 28 to prove that the restriction is an isomorphism. On the other hand, different ideas have to be used to show that the same result holds when γ has order three, four or six. The next two theorems deal with these cases.

Theorem 31. *Assume that $q \geq 11$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then*

$$T_N(V_{\nu_\gamma}) \cong V_{\nu_\gamma}.$$

Proof. We proceed as we did in the proof of Theorem 28. To prove this theorem it is enough to show that $T_{N,\nu_\gamma} \neq 0$.

From (5), Lemmas 23, 24 and 25, and after some computations the following expression for T_{N,ν_γ} is obtained:

$$T_{N,\nu_\gamma} = \frac{(q-1)}{4}\left[q^2-3q-(q+1)\,\gamma(-1)\phi(-1) - q^2\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, b\neq 1} P_\gamma(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1)\right].$$

Applying Lemma 5 and 14 it is possible to write the sum of products of Legendre sums in terms of the function f. In fact,

$$\sum_{b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*, b\neq 1} P_\gamma(2b^h-1)P_\phi(2b-1) \;=\; \phi(2)\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1/2}\langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle - (q+1)\frac{\gamma(-1)\phi(-1)}{q^2}.$$

Therefore, for every $\gamma\in\Gamma$ we have

$$T_{N,\nu_\gamma} = \frac{q^2(q-1)}{4}\left[1-\frac{3}{q}-\phi(2)\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1/2}\langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle\right]. \tag{19}$$

Recall that

$$\|f\|^2 = \langle f, P'_\epsilon\rangle^2 + \langle f, P'_\phi\rangle^2 + \sum_\gamma \langle f, P_\gamma\rangle^2 + \sum_\beta \langle f, R'_\beta\rangle^2 = 1-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{2}{q^2}. \tag{20}$$

where $\{P'_\epsilon, P'_\phi, P'_\gamma, R'_\beta : \gamma\in\Gamma, \beta\in B\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_q, m)$. Equation (20) implies that at most one of the coefficients $\langle f, g\rangle$ with $g\in\{P'_\epsilon, P'_\phi, P'_\gamma, R'_\beta : \gamma\in\Gamma, \beta\in B\}$ can be close to 1. On the other hand, it is clear from (19) that $T_{N,\nu_\gamma}=0$ if and only if the coefficient $\langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle$ is close to 1.

To prove the theorem we proceed by contradiction. Assume that there exists $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $T_{N,\nu_\gamma}=0$. Hence, it follows from equation (19) that

$$\langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle^2 = 1-\frac{5}{q}+\frac{4}{q(q-1)}. \tag{21}$$

Let $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q-1})/\mathbb{Q})$ be the Galois group where ζ_{q-1} is a primitive $(q-1)$-th root of the unity. If γ is a nontrivial character whose order is not equal to three, four or six, there exists $\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q-1})/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\gamma^\sigma\neq\gamma$ and $\gamma^\sigma\neq\gamma^{-1}$. Now, applying the Galois automorphism σ to both sides of (21) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\left(\langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle^2\right) &= \sigma\left(1-\frac{5}{q}+\frac{4}{q(q-1)}\right)\\ \langle f, P'_{\gamma^\sigma}\rangle^2 &= 1-\frac{5}{q}+\frac{4}{q(q-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\langle f, P'_\gamma\rangle^2$ and $\langle f, P'_{\gamma^\sigma}\rangle^2$ are equal to $1-\frac{5}{q}+\frac{4}{q(q-1)}$ which is a contradiction because at most one of the coefficients $\langle f, g\rangle$ with $g\in\{P'_\epsilon, P'_\phi, P'_\gamma, R'_\beta : \gamma\in\Gamma, \beta\in B\}$ can be close to 1. Assume now $\gamma\in\Gamma$ is a character of order 3, 4 or 6. From equation (19) we get the following expression for T_{N,ν_γ},

$$T_{N,\nu_\gamma} = \frac{(q-1)}{4}\left[q^2-3q-\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\gamma\rangle\right].$$

By Lemma 29, $\phi(2)q^2\langle f, P_\gamma\rangle = q^3\,{}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\gamma & \gamma^{-1} & \phi & \phi\\ & \epsilon & \epsilon & \epsilon\end{matrix}; 1; q\right] + \phi(-1)\gamma(-1)q$. By the final conclusion of Proposition 7, $T_{N\nu_\gamma}\neq 0$.

□

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Recall that in Section 3.3 we proved the following lower and upper bounds on the rank of the derangement matrix M of $PSL(2,q)$ acting on $PG(1,q)$,

$$\sum_{\{\chi:\ T_{N,\chi}\neq 0\}} \dim(V_\chi) \leq \text{rank}(M) \leq q(q-1). \tag{22}$$

These bounds imply that if $T_{N,\chi}$ is not zero for every $\chi \in \{\lambda_1, \psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$ then the rank of M is $q(q-1)$.

If $q \geq 11$ then it follows from Theorems 28, 30 and 31 that $T_{N,\chi} \neq 0$ for all $\chi \in \{\lambda_1, \psi_{-1}, \{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta\in B}, \{\nu_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\}$. Furthermore, for every $q \leq 11$ computational experiments have shown that the rank of M is exactly $q(q-1)$. □

6. Conclusions

In this paper we consider the natural right action of $PSL(2,q)$ on $PG(1,q)$, where q is an odd prime power. Using the eigenvalue method, it was proved in [19, 2] that the maximum size of an intersecting family in $PSL(2,q)$ is $q(q-1)/2$. Meagher and Spiga [19] conjectured that the cosets of points stabilizers are the only intersecting families of maximum size in $PSL(2,q)$. Here, we prove their conjecture in the affirmative using tools from representation theory of $PGL(2,q)$ and deep results from number theory.

For future research, one could consider the stability problem concerning intersecting families of $PSL(2,q)$. To present this problem we introduce the notion of stability.

Let X be a finite set and G a finite group acting on X. Recall that a subset S of G is said to be an intersecting family if for any $g_1, g_2 \in S$ there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $x^{g_1} = x^{g_2}$. We will refer to intersecting families of maximum size as *extremal families*. Moreover, intersecting families whose sizes are close to the maximum are called *almost extremal families*. We say that the extremal families of a group G acting on X are *stable* if almost extremal families are similar in structure to the extremal ones.

The stability of intersecting families has been studied during the past few years (cf. [8, 9, 25]). Consider the action of S_n on $[n]$. As was remarked in the introduction, the size of extremal families in S_n is $(n-1)!$ and every extremal family is a coset of a point stabilizer. Furthermore, the stability of extremal families in S_n was established by Ellis [8], who proved that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $n > N(\epsilon)$, any intersecting family of size at least $(1-1/e+\epsilon)(n-1)!$ must be strictly contained in an extremal family. Analogously, the same problems were solved for the group $PGL(2,q)$ acting on $PG(1,q)$. In fact, the size of extremal families in $PGL(2,q)$ is $q(q-1)$ and every extremal family is a coset of a point stabilizer. Recently, in [25] it was proved that the extremal families in $PGL(2,q)$ are stable.

We conjecture that the extremal families in $PSL(2,q)$ are also stable. The precise statement is given below.

Conjecture 32. Let S be an intersecting family in $PSL(2,q)$ with q an odd prime power. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|S| \geq (1-\delta)q(q-1)/2$ then S is contained within a coset of a point stabilizer.

References

[1] S. Ahlgren and K. Ono, A Gaussian hypergeometric series evaluation and Apery number congruences *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **518** (2000), 187–212.

[2] B. Ahmadi and K. Meagher. The Erdös-Ko-Rado property for some 2-transitive groups. *Ann. Combin.* **19** (2015), 621–640.
[3] B. Ahmadi and K. Meagher. A new proof for the Erdös-Ko-Rado Theorem for the alternating group. *Disc. Math.* **324** (2014), 28–40.
[4] G.E. Andrews, George, R. Askey, and R. Roy, Special functions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 71. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. xvi+664 pp.
[5] V. Batyrev and D. van Straten. Generalized hypergeometric functions and rational curves on Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric varieties. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **168** (1995), 493–533.
[6] F. Beukers, H. Cohen, and A. Mellit. Finite hypergeometric functions. `arXiv: 1505.02900`.
[7] P. J. Cameron and C. Y. Ku. Intersecting families of permutations. *European J. Combin.* **24** (2003), 881–890.
[8] D. Ellis. A Proof of the Cameron-Ku Conjecture. *J. London Math. Soc.* **85** (2012), 165–190.
[9] D. Ellis, E. Friedgut, and Y. Filmus. A quasi-stability result for dictatorships in S_n. *Combinatorica* **35** (2015), 573–618.
[10] P. Erdös, C. Ko, R. Rado. Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* Ser. (2), **12** (1961), 313–320.
[11] P. Frankl and M. Deza. On the maximum number of permutations with given maximal or minimal distance. *J. Combin. Theory* Ser. A, **22** (1977), 352–360.
[12] J. G. Fuselier, L. Long, R. Ramakrishna, H. Swisher, and F. Tu. Hypergeometric functions over finite fields. `arXiv:1510.02575`
[13] J. Greene. Hypergeometric functions over finite fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **301** (1987), 77–101.
[14] C. Godsil and K. Meagher. A new proof of the Erdös-Ko-Rado theorem for intersecting families of permutations. *European J. Combin.* **30** (2009), 404–414.
[15] F. Gouvêa and N. Yui. Rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds over $\mathbb{Q}$ are modular. *Expo. Math.* **29** (2011),142–149.
[16] A. Kable, Legendre sums, Soto- Andrade sums and Kloosterman sums. *Pacific J. Math.* **206** (2002), 139–157.
[17] N. M. Katz. *Exponential Sums and Differential Equations.* Annals of Math Studies, 124, 1990.
[18] The L-function and modular forms database, http://www.lmfdb.org
[19] K. Meagher and P. Spiga. An Erdös-Ko-Rado theorem for the derangement graph of $PGL(2,q)$ acting on the projective line. *J. Combin. Theory* Ser. A, **118** (2011), 532–544.
[20] K. Meagher and P. Spiga. An Erdös-Ko-Rado theorem for the derangement graph of $PGL_3(q)$ acting on the projective plane. *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* **28** (2014), 918–941.
[21] B. Larose and C. Malvenuto. Stable sets of maximal size in Kneser-type graphs. *Europ. J. Combin.* **25** (2004), 657–673.
[22] B. van Geemen and N. Nygaard. On the geometry and arithmetic of some Siegel modular threefolds. *J. Number Theory* **53** (1995), 45–87.
[23] K. Ono. Values of gaussian hypergeometric series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 1205–1223.
[24] I. Piatetski-Shapiro. *Complex Representations of $GL(2,K)$ for finite fields K.* Contemporary mathematics, 1983.
[25] R. Plaza, Stability for Intersecting Families in $PGL(2,q)$. *Electron. J. Combin.* **22** (2015), no.4, Paper 4.41, 14pp.
[26] F. Rodriguez-Villegas. Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds. Fields Inst. Commun., 38, *Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry* (Toronto, ON, 2001), 223-231, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
[27] F. Rodriguez-Villegas. *Hypergeometric motives.* Lecture notes.
[28] J. P. Serre. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1977.
[29] J. P. Serre. *Abelian l-adic representations and elliptic curves.* With the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute. Revised reprint of the 1968 original. Research Notes in Mathematics, 7. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1998. 199 pp. ISBN: 1-56881-077-6
[30] H.A. Verrill, H. A. The L-series of certain rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds. *J. Number Theory* **81** (2000), 310-334.
[31] R. M. Wilson. The exact bound in the Erdös-Ko-Rado theorem. *Combinatorica* **4** (1984), 247-257.

[32] N. Yui. Update on the modularity of Calabi-Yau varieties. With an appendix by Helena Verrill. Fields Inst. Commun., 38, *Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry* (Toronto, ON, 2001), 307-362, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

Ling Long, Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA
E-mail address: llong@math.lsu.edu

Rafael Plaza, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA
E-mail address: plaza@udel.edu

Peter Sin, Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
E-mail address: sin@ufl.edu

Qing Xiang, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA
E-mail address: qxiang@udel.edu